

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/590,284		Applicant(s) GOLDENBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner ILIA OUSPENSKI		Art Unit 1644	

All Participants: (1) ILIA OUSPENSKI. (3) _____

(2) Shawnmarie Mayrand-Chung, on behalf Paul M. Booth. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 June 2005 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____


Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed: _____

 Claims discussed: _____

 Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant provided authorization for the Examiner's amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)